BID ADDENDUM #2

To: All Bidders

From: Rita V. Brousseau, Chief Procurement Officer

Date: December 7, 2017

Re: Brownfields Cleanup & Assessment Services at Tombarello Site RFQ

This Addendum modifies and forms a part of the Bid Set documents dated November 29, 2017.

This Addendum consists of the following: two (2) typed pages.

Where any items called for in the bid documents are supplemented here, the supplemental requirements shall be considered as added thereto. Where any original item is amended, voided, or superseded here, the other provisions of such items not specifically amended, voided, or superseded shall remain in effect.

The bid date has been extended and applications are now due on Thursday, December 21, 2017 by 11:00 AM.

I. The following items are the City’s responses to Bidder questions:

1. **Question:** Under the description of Task 2 on page 7 of the RFQ, it says “Alternative 4 described in the Analysis of Brownfield Cleanup Activities (ABCA) in the City’s proposal to the EPA will guide the cleanup activities.” However, I don’t see an Alternative 4 in the ABCA. Below is a table from Section 5.4 of the ABCA that summarizes the remedial alternatives evaluated.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alternative</th>
<th>PCB Cleanup Goal</th>
<th>UCLs Removed</th>
<th>Soil Volume</th>
<th>Barrier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1A</td>
<td>10 mg/kg</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>75,000 CY</td>
<td>Cap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1B</td>
<td>10 mg/kg</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>75,000 CY</td>
<td>Cover</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Question 1:

**Question:** A comparison of the drug dosages and their associated coverages is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>50 mg/kg</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>10,000 CY</th>
<th>Cover</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2B</td>
<td>50 mg/kg</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>9,000 CY</td>
<td>Cap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2C</td>
<td>50 mg/kg</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>9,000 CY</td>
<td>Cap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3A</td>
<td>100 mg/kg</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>4,000 CY</td>
<td>Cover</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3B</td>
<td>100 mg/kg</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>2,000 CY</td>
<td>Cap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3C</td>
<td>100 mg/kg</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>2,000 CY</td>
<td>Cover</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Answer:** Bidders should use alternative 3C.

---

### Question 2:

2. **Question:** Can the bid due date be extended one week?

**Answer:** Yes, bid date has been extended by one week and is now due on Thursday, December 21, 2017 by 11:00 AM in the Office of the Purchasing Agent only.

---

### Question 3:

3. **Question:** In item A of the Comparative Evaluation Criteria on Page 16 of the RFQ, there is a reference to the Hallmark Van Lines Site. Please clarify if the reference to this site is a misprint or intentional.

**Answer:** All references to Hallmark Van Lines are hereby changed to Tombarello.

---

### Question 4:

4. **Question:** In the Respondent’s Checklist on Page 2, the RFQ states to use “Qualifications with the following headings” for items 1 through 6. Does the City prefer a separate section for all the items on the checklist? Or can we address items 4, 5, & 6 within a section called “Project Approach” in accordance with the RFQ Response Section Heading on Page 10.

**Answer:** Respondent may address the qualifications section in a heading called Project Approach.

---

### Question 5:

5. **Question:** The RFQ includes a copy and makes reference to the May 2014 version of the DSB form. Can we replace with the updated version of this form (revised July 2016)?

**Answer:** Yes, please use the updated DSB form.

---

**NOTE TO ALL BIDDERS:** YOU MUST ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT OF ALL ADDENDA ON YOUR BID SUBMISSION FORM WHERE INDICATED.